



Haringey Council

# Haringey Strategic Assessment 2012

## Phase 1: Data Scanning and Prioritisation Exercise

December 2012

Strategy and Business Intelligence,  
Chief Executive's Service, Haringey Council

# Purpose

- To inform the Board of the methodology used to arrive at the Emerging Priorities
- To inform the Board of the Emerging Priorities
- To ask the Board to agree the Emerging Priorities
- To remind the Board of the next steps for developing the full Strategic Assessment

# Strategic Assessment – Overall Aims

Community Safety Partnerships have a statutory duty to undertake an annual Strategic Assessment. Haringey's Strategic Assessment 2012 aims to identify:

- **Priority crime and antisocial behaviour types e.g. Gang Crime.**
- **Priority people e.g. offenders with substance misuse issues or groups vulnerable to particular crimes**
- **Priority places e.g. particular wards for particular crime types**
- **Cross-cutting issues, drivers e.g. substance misuse and alcohol**
- **Links and synergies between different issues**

# The Strategic Assessment

Following best practice, the strategic assessment will be undertaken in two broad phases and finalised and signed-off in April 2013:

- **Phase 1: Data Collection and Scanning (Oct-Dec 2012)** - Leading to the identification of emerging priorities by the Community Safety Partnership Board in December 2012
- **Phase 2: In-depth analysis of priorities and community engagement (Jan-Mar 2013)** – Strategic Assessment ready for sign-off in April 2013.

# Data Scanning and Prioritisation: Phase One

- ✓ Set up a working group with analysts from across the partnership
- ✓ Agreed datasets and data coverage required
- ✓ Agreed criteria for prioritisation
- ✓ Collated and processed data
- ✓ Held a workshop with the working group to review findings and refine methodology
- ✓ Presented phase 1 findings to PMG

# Criteria for Prioritisation (1)

Around 100 crime/ASB issues were identified and scored from 1 to 4 against the following criteria. This slide shows quantitative criteria, the next slide shows the qualitative criteria.

Proposed Criteria	Description	Rationale
<b>Volume</b>	Scale of the issue compared to other issues in Haringey	Issues with a large number of incidents or affecting a large number of people ought to be given higher priority
<b>Benchmarking</b>	Scale of the issue in Haringey compared to London overall	Issues that are a bigger problem for Haringey than for other London boroughs ought to be given higher priority
<b>Long term trend</b>	Average annual increase or decrease since 2007/08 (or the earliest available year)	Issues that have increased or have not seen big declines in recent years ought to be given higher priority
<b>Short term trend</b>	Increase or decrease in the latest 12 month period compared to the previous 12 months	Issues that have increased in the last year ought to be given higher priority

# Criteria for Prioritisation (2)

Proposed Criteria	Description	Rationale
<b>Impact</b>	The impact an issue has on individuals, families, communities and businesses	Issues that cause significant harm/damage to people or property ought to be given higher priority
<b>Generator / link to other issues</b>	The extent to which an issue directly or indirectly causes other issues, or is inter-dependent with them.	Issues that link to, or directly or indirectly cause, other issues ought to be given higher priority as tackling them helps to tackle other issues
<b>PESTEL</b>	The prominence of an issue within the PESTEL analysis, including MET/MOPAC priorities, Government priorities, and new legislation	Issues that feature prominently on the national and local agenda ought to be given higher priority
<b>Community views and perceptions</b>	The extent to which the public view the issue as a problem, based on recent surveys and consultation	Issues that the public are more concerned about ought to be given higher priority



# Prioritisation Matrix

The matrix was used to score each issue between 1 (low priority) to 4 (high priority) against each criteria, this slide shows the top results by average score.

The full matrix is available.

Prioritisation Matrix		Low priority	1	2	3	4	High priority			
Crime Type / Indicator	Initial Groupings	Volume	Benchmarking (London)	Long term trend	Short term trend	Impact	Generator / Link to other issues	PESTEL	Community views / perception	Average
Drug Trafficking	Drugs	2	4	2	4	3	3	4	4	3.3
Knife Crime	Serious, Violent Crime	3	3	3	2	4	4	4	3	3.3
Residential Burglary	Burglary, Property Crime	4	4	2	3	3	3	4	3	3.3
Gang Crime	Serious, Violent Crime	1	No data	4	1	4	4	4	3	3.0
Calls to ASBAT	ASB	2	No data	4	4	2	2	4	3	3.0
Domestic Violence	Serious	4	2	2	3	4	4	4	1	3.0
Burglary Total	Burglary, Property Crime	4	4	2	2	3	2	4	3	3.0
Reoffending	Youth Crime, Reoffending	2	4	3	2	3	4	4	1	2.9
Serious Youth Violence	Serious, Youth Crime, Violent Crime	2	2	3	1	4	4	4	3	2.9
Theft from a person	Theft, Property Crime	3	3	4	4	2	2	1	4	2.9
Violence with Injury	Serious, Violent Crime	4	3	2	2	4	3	4	1	2.9
Alcohol-related admissions	Drugs and Alcohol	4	4	4	1	3	2	4	1	2.9
Domestic Dumping of Waste	Envirocrime, ASB	4	No data	4	4	2	1	1	4	2.9
Personal Robbery	Robbery, Violent Crime, Property Crime	3	3	3	2	3	3	1	4	2.8
Repeat victimisation (ASB)	ASB	No data	No data	No data	No data	2	2	4	3	2.8
All adult users in effective treatment	Drugs and Alcohol	3	4	2	2	2	4	4	1	2.8
Violence Against the Person Total	VAP, Serious, Violent Crime	4	2	2	3	3	3	1	4	2.8
Possession of Drugs	Drugs	4	2	1	2	2	3	4	4	2.8
Assault with Injury	VAP, Violent Crime	4	4	2	2	3	2	1	4	2.8
Drugs Total	Drugs	4	3	1	3	3	3	1	4	2.8
Adult Reoffending Rate	Reoffending	2	2	No data	2	3	4	4	1	2.6
Wounding/GBH	VAP, Serious, Violent Crime	2	4	1	3	4	2	1	4	2.6
Serious Acquisitive Crime	Serious, Property	4	4	3	2	3	3	1	1	2.6
Robbery Total	Robbery, Violent Crime, Property Crime	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	1	2.6
Gun Crime	Serious, Violent Crime	1	3	1	2	4	3	4	3	2.6
CAD ASB Total	Envirocrime, ASB	4	2	No data	1	2	2	4	3	2.6
Dumping of Waste Total	Envirocrime, ASB	4	No data	3	3	2	1	1	4	2.6
Criminal Damage Total	Property Crime	4	4	1	2	3	1	1	4	2.5
Use of custody	Youth Crime	1	4	4	4	-	-	1	1	2.5
Fraud or Forgery Total	Theft, Property Crime	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	1	2.5





Haringey Council

# Emerging Priorities

Emerging Priority	Crime/ASB issue for focus	Average Score
<b>Acquisitive Crime</b>	Residential Burglary	3.25
	Theft from a person	2.88
	Personal Robbery	2.75
	Serious Acquisitive Crime	2.63
	Fraud and Forgery	2.50
<b>Antisocial Behaviour</b>	Calls to ASBAT	3.00
	Domestic Dumping of Waste	2.86
	Repeat victimisation (ASB)	2.75
	Noise Calls	2.50
<b>Domestic Violence</b>	Domestic Violence Offences	3.00
<b>Drug Crime</b>	Drug Trafficking	3.25
	Possession of Drugs	2.75
<b>Violent Crime</b>	Gang Crime	3.75
	Knife Crime	3.25
	Violence with Injury	2.88
	Assault with Injury	2.75
	Wounding/GBH	2.63
	Gun Crime	2.63
<b>Youth Crime</b>	Serious Youth Violence	2.88
	Use of custody	2.50
<b>Reoffending</b>	Youth reoffending	3.00
	Adult reoffending	2.60

# Phase Two

## (January to March 2013)

Phase two will commence in the new year and comprises the following activities, which will take place in parallel:

- **In-depth analysis of emerging priorities.** See next slide for further detail.
- **Community engagement.** It is a requirement that CSPs seek community views on what the partnership should prioritise. This will begin with consultation on the emerging priorities from phase one.

The strategic assessment will be finalised in March ready for sign off by the CSP in April 2013.

# Phase Two – Outline of Analysis

Analysis will be structured under the emerging priorities, with a focus on the issues identified as part of the prioritisation exercise.

## Overview of Crime / ASB / Community Safety in Haringey

Demography and Drivers

Trends

Victims

Offenders

Locations

Temporal

Cross-cutting issues and links

Recommendations

## Emerging Priority 1 e.g. Acquisitive Crime

Trends

Victims

Offenders

Location

Temporal

Activity

Recommendations

## Emerging Priority 2 etc...

Trends

Victims

Offenders

Location

Temporal

Activity

Recommendations

The strategic assessment will feed into the development of the three year CSP Partnership Plan. This will be followed by regular monitoring of activity and performance throughout 2013/14 and onwards.

## Strategic Planning Process

Oct 12    Nov 12    Dec 12    Jan 13    Feb 13    Mar 13    Apr 13    May 13    Jun 13    Jul 13    Aug 13    Sep 13    Oct 13    Nov 13    Dec 13

